## Law Office of NICHOLAS J. DECOULOS

39 Cross Street (Suite 204)
Peabody, Massachusetts 01960-1666
Telephone (978) 532-1020

Nicholas J. Decoulos (Ext. 12)

File #5617

E-Mail: decouloslaw@verizon.net

February 20, 2009

Massachusetts Land Court 226 Causeway Street Boston, MA 02114

Re: Maria A. Kitras, Trustee, et al.

Vs. Town of Aquinnah, et al .

No. 238738

Dear Sir or Madam:

I enclose a Joint Submission Relating to the Introduction of and Objections to Exhibits 1 Through 86. Also enclosed is a Certificate of Service.

Very truly yours,

Nicholas J. Pecoulos

NJD:aw Enclosure

0

cc: Service List w/enc.

### COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

DUKES, ss

LAND COURT DEPARTMENT MISC. CASE NO. 238738, TROMBLY, J

MARIA A. KITRAS, AS SHE IS THE TRUSTEE OF BEAR REALTY TRUST, ET AL,

**Plaintiffs** 

v.

TOWN of AQUINNAH, ET AL,

**Defendants** 

# JOINT SUBMISSION RELATING TO THE INTRODUCTION OF AND OBJECTIONS TO EXHIBITS 1 THROUGH 86

The Plaintiffs, Maria A. Kitras as Trustee of the Bear Realty Trust, Maria A. Kitras and James J. Decoulos as Trustees of the Bear II Realty Trust, Maria A. Kitras and James J. Decoulos as Trustees of the Gorda Realty Trust and the Defendants, Martha's Vineyard Land Bank, Town of Aquinnah, the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, Vineyard Conservation Society, Inc., and Jack Fruchtman, Jr., hereby submit to the Court the following chart listing Exhibits 1 through 86 with the parties' respective positions and objections, if any.

Proposed Exhibit	Position of Defendants	Basis for objection, if any
Plaintiffs' Proposed Exhibits		
1. Plan of existing ownership of all parties - plaintiffs and defendants <b>Pg. 1</b>	Objection Plaintiff agrees. Will use as a chalk.	Hearsay. No foundation as to information on plan; not a "Survey"; No objection to use as a chalk.
2. August 25, 1859: Letter from Zacheus Howwasswee to John Milton Earle, Indian Commissioner, reproduced from the records of the American Antiquarian Society,	No objection	and the World Man.

Proposed Exhibit	Position of Defendants	Basis for objection, if any
with transcription, re: custom of holding lots in severalty and title thereto Pg. 2		osjewon, ir uniy
3. January 28, 1860: Letter from Leavitt Thaxter to John Milton Earle, Indian Commissioner, with transcript	No objection	
Pg. 5  4. March 30, 1862: Report of John Milton Earle, Indian Commissioner, consisting of	No objection	
excerpts pertaining to the Gay Head tribe Pg. 11		
5. March 30, 1863: Chapter 42 of the Acts of 1863 - Resolve relating to the establishment of boundary lines of Indian lands <b>Pg. 56</b>	No objection	
6. March 13, 1866: Report statement by Charles Marston recorded in Book 49, Page 2 [it reads page 3 on bottom, but is recorded in Page 2], with transcription Pg. 58	No objection	
Lines in Gay Head, House No. 219. Report of Charles Marston, Commissioner, to Governor Alexander H. Bullock and the Executive Council of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, re: boundary lines between the common lands and individual owners adjoining said lands Pg. 60	No objection	
8. April 30, 1866: Chapter 67 of the Acts of 1866 - Resolve relating to the establishment of the boundary lines of Indian lands at Gay Head Pg. 65	No objection	

Proposed Exhibit	Position of Defendants	Basis for objection, if any
9. Undated, "Map of Gay	No objection	
Head, Martha's Vineyard,		
Mass. Showing the Lands of		
Individual Owners and the		·
General Fields or Commons,		
Made Under the Direction of		
Richard L. Pease, Esq.		
Commissioner Appointed by		
Gov. Bullock Under Resolve		·
Chap. by 1866 to Determine the		
Boundary Lines of the Indian		
Lands at Gay Head. By: John		
H. Mullin, Top. Engr. Scale 50		
Rods = One Inch." (Full scale		
is not available) Pg. 67		
10. January, 1870: Report of	No objection	
the condition of the Gay Head		
Indians, including proposed act	1	
to incorporate the Town of Gay		
Head Pg. 68		
11. April 30, 1870: Chapter	No objection	
213 of the Acts of 1870 - An		
Act to incorporate the Town of		
Gay Head. Section 2 conveys		
title to the Common Lands to		
the Town of Gay Head, which		
includes Lots 174 to 736		
Pg. 85		
12. September 1, 1870:	No objection	
Petition, Citation and Decree to		
the Dukes County Probate	· .	
Court (the "Probate Court") for		
the Division and Setting Off of		
Our Lands in Gay Head		
(returned on December 5, 1870)		
pursuant to Chapter 213,		
Section 6 of the Acts of 1870,		
with transcription Pg. 88		
13. September 7, 1870:	No objection	
Remonstrance to the Petition of		
persons in Gay Head for		
Division of Common Lands,		·
with transcription Pg. 91		

Proposed Exhibit	Position of Defendants	Basis for objection, if any
14. October 17, 1870: Petition	No objection	
in and of the Citizens of Gay		
Head, with transcription Pg. 94		
15. December 5, 1870: Decree	No objection	
for Division of Gay Head		
Common Lands and		
Establishing Boundaries of	·	
Other Lands. Appointment of		
Joseph T. Pease and Richard L.		
Pease to make division of lands		
by Judge Theodore G. Mayhew,		
with transcription Pg. 97		
16. December 5, 1870:	No objection	
Appointment of Joseph T. Pease		
and Richard L. Pease by the		
Probate Court, with		
transcription Pg. 101		
17. May 12, 1879: Return of	No objection	
Warrant by Joseph T. Pease and		
Richard L. Pease, with		
transcription Pg. 104		
18. May 22, 1871: Report of	No objection	
the Commissioner, Richard L.		
Pease, appointed to complete		
the examination and		
determination of all questions of	·	
title to land, and of all boundary		
lines between the individual		
owners, at Gay Head, on the		
island of Martha's Vineyard; under a Resolve of the		
1 '		
Legislature of 1866, Chapter 67 Pg. 107	·	
19. May, 1871: Summary Land	No objection	
titles and Boundary Lines of the	No objection	
Indian Lands at Gay Head,		
Martha's Vineyard, Mass., as		
Reported to his Excellency, the		
Governor and the Honorable		
Council, by Richard L. Pease,		
Commissioner, May, 1871.		
Deeds conveyed by Richard L.		
Pease to the aforementioned		
2 day to the distributioned		

Proposed Exhibit	Position of Defendants	Basis for objection, if any
Lots 1-173 recorded at Book		
49, Pages 100-198 Pg. 152		
20. October 26, 1871: Reduced	No objection	
Sectional Plans recorded at the	-	
Registry and referenced at Book		
49, Pages 89-198, depicting		
Lots 1-173, consisting of 23		
pages, with letter dated May 22,		
2007, acknowledging the		
original receipt of the Sectional		
Plans, signed by Diane E.		
Powers, Register, Dukes		
County Registry of Deeds		
Pg. 162		
21. December 21, 1878:	No objection	
Report of Joseph T. Pease and Richard L. Pease to the Probate		
I I		
Court and order and approval by the Probate Court, as		
recorded in Book 65, Pages 150		
to 152, with transcription. The		
original set off plan measures		
over 10 feet in length. That plan		
has been cut in sheets of 26 x		
28 and is in a bound volume		
consisting of approximately 15		
sheets and is on file with the		
Dukes County Probate Court. It		
cannot be copied because of its		
size <b>Pg. 189</b>		
	No objection	
Summary Map of Gay Head		
lands depicting a substantial		
portion of lots partitioned from		·
the common land by Joseph T.	·	
Pease and Richard L. Pease,		
prepared by John Mullin, Civil		
Engineer, (1878). Lots 1-173	·	
and homestead lots deeded		
between 1866-1871 are cross-		
hatched and Lots 174-189		
conveyed in 1878 are labeled		
Pg. 195		

Proposed Exhibit	Position of Defendants	Basis for objection, if any
23. September 1, 1872: Last	Objection	Relevance. Lot 178 not included in
Will and Testament of Zacheus		remand.
Howwasswee, filed in Probate		1.
Court. Docket I/1612, Date of		The Defendants misinterpreted
Death: June 26, 1873, with		the Appeals Court decision. Lot 178 was first depicted on the
transcription Pg. 196		plan submitted to the Probate
24 B 1 21 1070 B 1		Court on December 21, 1878.
24. December 21, 1878: Deed	Objection	Relevance. Lot 178 not included in
conveying Lot 178 to Elizabeth		remand.
Howwasswee, widow of		P
Zaccheus Howwasswee, with		Previously submitted. See Document No. 21.
transcription Pg. 201		
25. Reduction of 1897-1898	Objection	Relevance. Not relevant (1897-1898) time
Treasury Department, U.S.		period.
Coast and Geodetic Survey,		74 17-
Henry S. Pritchett, Supt.,	·	At the meeting (the Meeting) after the Court hearing, among
Martha's Vineyard Island,		after the Court hearing, among $J$ . Roberts, $B$ . Hall and $N$ .
Western Part, Massachusetts,		Decoulos, the parties agreed
Plan Table Survey by W.C.		that Document No. 25 was offered
Hodgkins, Assistant, Chief of		by the Plaintiffs on the issues of prescription and "ancient
Party; on file with the National		way", not on the issue of intent
Archives, depicting road		to create an easement by
network, together with a letter		necessity. So, out for now but may be offered later. That is
dated November 7, 2007, from		to say, the second part of the
the National Archives and		bifurcated case. (Hereinafter
Records Administration and		referred to as "Deferred").
zoomed section of affected land.		
Full size plan .will be		
forwarded to any party upon		
request Pg. 204	Ohioatian	D.1 1041
26. February 27, 1941: (i)	Objection	Relevance. 1941 photo not relevant time
Aerial photograph RG373 Can		period.
ON 10573 - Exp. G-27 on file		
with the National Archives; (ii)		Deferred.
zoomed section of aerial	•	Dereited.
photograph enhanced to depict		
set of locations on Moshup Trail		
Pg. 207	Objection	Dalama
27. Special Place Designation	Objection	Relevance.
in Aquinnah Zoning By-Laws		Doforma
for Toad Rock Pg. 209		Deferred.

All italicized comments are those being made by the Plaintiffs.

28. September, 1860: Harper's	Ohiovi	
New Monthly Magazine",	Objection	Relevance, hearsay.
specific reference to Toad Rock	·	
1 4		Deferred.
29. December 15, 2005: Deed	Objection	Relevance.
from David Wice, et ux. to		
Martha's Vineyard Land Bank,		Deferred.
with Plan of Land prepared by		
Hollis A. Smith as referenced in		
Deed Pg. 237		
30. Chain of Title to Lots 178	Objection	Relevance. Back title not in dispute.
and 711 Pg. 241	The parties agree to	
	defer the resolution to	
	the second part of the	J. Roberts, that the Defendants
	bifurcated case.	concede that title is vested in
		all of the Plaintiffs. The
		Defendants limit that concession to this litigation. That
		concession is not made as to the
31. Chain of Title to Lot 241	Ohi	Hall trusts.
Pg. 277	Objection	Relevance. Back title not in dispute.
-8,-1,	The parties agree to	
	defer the resolution to	At the Meeting it was stated by
	the second part of the	J. Roberts, that the Defendants concede that title is vested in
	bifurcated case.	all of the Plaintiffs Tho
		Defendants limit that concession
		to this litigation, what
		concession is not made as to the Hall trusts.
		THE CEUDOS.
32. Chain of Title to Lot 243	Objection	Relevance. Back title not in dispute.
Pg. 306	The parties agree to	the not in dispute.
	defer the resolution to	At the Meeting it was stated by
	the second part of the	J. Roberts, that the Defendants
	bifurcated case.	concede that title is vested in
		all of the Plaintiffs. The Defendants limit that concession
·		to this litigation. That
		concession is not made as to the
33. Chain of Title to Lot 554	Objection	Hāll trusts.
Pg. 317	Objection The parties as a second	Relevance. Back title not in dispute.
	The parties agree to	
	defer the resolution to	At the Meeting it was stated by
	the second part of the	J. Roberts, that the Defendants concede that title is vested in
	bifurcated case.	all of the Plaintiffs The
		Defendants limit that concession
		to this litigation. That
		concession is not made as to the Hall trusts.

-		
34. Chain of Title to Lot 555	Objection	Relevance. Back title not in dispute.
To be submitted by Besse.	The parties agree to	buck the not in dispute.
	defer the resolution to	At the Meeting it was stated by
	the second part of the	J. Roberts, that the Defendants
	bifurcated case.	concede that title is vested in
	biluicated case.	all of the Plaintiffs Tho
		Defendants limit that concession
		to this litigation. That
		concession is not made as to the Hall Trusts.
25 Chair of Title to I at 712		
35. Chain of Title to Lot 713	Objection	Relevance. Back title not in dispute.
Pg. 339	The parties agree to	
	defer the resolution to	At the Meeting it was stated by
	the second part of the	J. Roberts, that the Defendants
	bifurcated case.	concede that title is vested in all of the Plaintiffs
		all of the Plaintiffs. The Defendants limit that concession
		1 FO thia 1 L
		concession is not made as to the
		Hall trusts.
36. Chain of Title to Lot 557	Objection	
	Objection	Relevance. Back title not in dispute.
Pg. 349	The parties agree to	
	defer the resolution to	At the Meeting it was stated by
	the second part of the	J. Roberts, that the Defendants
	bifurcated case.	concede that title is vested in all of the Plaintiffs. The
		Defendants limit that concession
		to this litigation. That
		concession is not made as to the
27 Diam of act of T -+ 70 1 11	011	Hall trusts.
37. Plan of set-off Lot 79, held	Objection	Relevance. Lot 79 not included in
in severalty by Zaccheus		remand.
Howwasswee, with existing		
conditions as of December 11,		This evidence will prove that
1992, depicting stone walls and		Lot 79 was bounded by stone
old foundations, and Deed dated		walls; therefore, the grantee had possession and enclosure at
October 26, 1871, recorded at		the time the Deed was granted to
Book 49, Pages 153-154, with		him. Furthermore, the deed
transcription Pg. 379		states that it was Zaccheus
	Objection	Howwasswee's homestead.
38. Plan depicting Lot 178,	Objection	Relevance. Lot 178 not included in
existing conditions and		remand.
certification Pg. 383		
		The Defendants misinterpreted
		the Appeals Court decision. Lot
		178 was not created until the 1878 Set-off Plan was utilized.
		-0.0 bet off Fram was utilized.

39. Deed to set-off Lot 89	Ohioatiaa	
	Objection	Relevance. Lot 89 not included in
dated October 26, 1871, held in		remand.
severalty by Serena Randolph,		
with transcription Pg. 384		This evidence will prove that
		Lot 89 was bounded by stone walls; therefore, the grantee
		walls; therefore, the grantee had possession and enclosure at
		the time the Deed was granted to
40 G' 1007 D		her.
40. Circa 1887: Photograph of	No objection	
Gay Head from the lighthouse;		
Martha's Vineyard Landscapes:		
The Nature of Change, by Peter		
W. Dunwiddie, published by		
the Vineyard Conservation		
Society and Peter W.		
Dunwiddie, 1994, Page 56		
Pg. 386		
41. Letter dated August 31,	Objection	Heargay relevance areas C
2005, from Benjamin L. Hall,		Hearsay, relevance, argument of counsel.
Jr. to the Appeals Court,		The Plaintiffs agree that
attempting to call attention to		The Plaintiffs agree that Document No. 41 not be admitted.
, -		110. 11 not be admitted.
the Appeals Court that Lots 174		
thru 189 were not held in		
severalty. N.B. No one was		
aware of or had the benefit of		
the Sectional Plans until May	e de la companya de	
22, 2007. (See Ex. 20) <b>Pg. 387</b>		
42. July 1926: publication of	Objection	Hearsay, relevance.
the Dukes County Historical		
Society "The Old South Road of		Document No. 42 should be read
Gay Head" by Edward S.		by the Court in order to
Burgess, particularly pages 2-		acquaint the Court with the
12, 19-20, 25-26. Pg. 394		conditions of the land regarding lots in severalty and common
· · ·		lands.
43. November, 1938: (i) Aerial	Objection	Relevance. 1938 time period not relevant.
photograph from USGS; and (ii)	-	proceed not relevant.
zoomed section of photograph		Deferred.
Pg. 431		
44. October 14, 1940 - October	Objection	Relevance, hearsay.
1, 1941: File of the Land Court,		Motovatice, ficalisay.
Petition No. 17763, Relating to		Deferred.
Lot 585, with plans Pg. 433		
45. 1943: USGS quadrangle of	Objection	Polomoro 1042 di
	Objection	Relevance. 1943 time period not relevant.
Squibnocket (2 sheets) Pg. 464		Doforma
		Deferred.

46. June 20, 1950: Plan of land	Objection	Relevance. 1950 time period not relevant.
owned by Squibnocket Bass &		
Surf Club, Inc., Land Court	\	Deferred.
Case No. 12591 (larger plan		
available) Pg. 466		
47. 1951: USGS quadrangle of	Objection	Relevance. 1951 time period not relevant.
Squibnocket (2 sheets) Pg. 467		D. 5
48. February, 1955: Layout of	Objection	Deferred.
Moshup Trail, with plans	Objection	Relevance. 1955 time period not relevant.
Pg. 469		Deferred.
49. 1972: USGS quadrangle of	Objection	
Squibnocket, with Lots 178,	Objection	Relevance. 1972 time period not relevant.
241, 711 and 713 shaded in red		Deferred.
Pg. 477		
50. July 14, 1975: Decision of	Objection <sup>+</sup>	Relevance, hearsay.
the Land Court in Black v. Cape	Objection	Relevance, hearsay.
Cod Co., Case No. 69813, by		Document No. 50 sets forth many
Judge William Randall Pg. 478		findings of fact which would be
Judge William Randam 1g. 470		useful to the Court in the
51. May 18, 1978: Petition of	Objection	determination of this case.
Alexander D. Forger and other		Relevance, hearsay.*
documents in Land Court Case		Deferred.
No. 39904 Pg. 487		Defeffed.
52. October 28, 1988:	Objection	Relevance, hearsay.*
Affidavit of Philip J. Norton,	Objection	Refevance, fical say.
Jr. filed with Land Court Case		Document No. 52 evidences the
No. 129925, <i>Taylor v</i> .		policies of the Land Court
Vanderhoop Pg. 516		relating to property that was included in the Set-Offs and
vanaemoop 15. 210	-	Plan of 1878.
53. July 19, 1989: Decision of	Objection	Relevance, hearsay.*
the Land Court, Case No.		Dogument No. 52 acts footb
129925, Taylor v. Vanderhoop,		Document No. 53 sets forth many findings of fact which would be
by Judge Robert V. Cauchon,		useful to the Court in the
with 6 plans (larger plans		determination of this case.
available) Pg. 524		
54. September 26, 1989: 3	Objection	Relevance. 1989 time period not relevant.
Plans of Land in Gay Head		
prepared for Wampanoag Tribal		Deferred.
Council of Gay Head, Inc.		
(larger plans available). Pg. 543		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>+</sup> The Commonwealth of Massachusetts does not join in this objection.

\* The Commonwealth of Massachusetts does not join in these objections to the extent they are based on grounds of hearsay. The Commonwealth does object on the basis of relevance.

FF G . 1 4 4000 D		
55. September 4, 1990: Plan of	Objection	Relevance. 1990 time period not relevant
Land in Gay Head surveyed for		
Rebecca J. Cournoyer, et al		Deferred.
Pg. 546		
56. 1994: publication of	Objection	Relevance. 1994 time period not relevant
Vineyard Conservation Society,		time period not relevant
Martha's Vineyard Landscapes:		
The Nature Of Change, pages		Deferred.
54-55 Pg. 547		
57. 1997: Affidavits relating to	Objection	Palayanaa haaraa
an application for a preliminary	o ojecnom	Relevance, hearsay.
injunction in the case of		
Vineyard Conservation Society,		Deferred.
	A Company	Delerrea.
Inc. v. Broscheit, Dukes County		
Superior Court No. 97-0028:		
(1) David Howell		
(2) Joseph DeLerno		
(3) George Rousell		
(4) William Vanderhoop		
Pg. 549		
1 g. 349		
50 D 1 21 1007 D		
58. December 31, 1997: Plan	Objection	Relevance. 1997 time period not relevant.
of land in 'Gay Head owned by		
David B. smith and South Shore		
Beach, Inc. (larger plan		Deferred.
available) Pg. 558		
59. December · 18, 2001: Letter	Objection	Hearsay, relevance.*
of Bernard E. McCourt District		
Highway Director to James J.		Deferred.
Decoulos Pg. 559		
60. June 3, 2002: Plan of	Objection	However will be a second of the second of th
Moshup Trail to State Road	Objection	Hearsay, relevance. No foundation as to
		data shown on plan.
along Zach's Cliffs Road; Set-		
Off Lot Delineations;		This Plan should be introduced as a chalk.
Aquinnah, Massachusetts		as a chark.
Pg. 560		
61. March 22, 2002:	Objection	Relevance. 2002 time period not relevant.
Composite Development, Town		1
of Aquinnah, Prepared by	·	Deferred.
Commonwealth of		
Massachusetts Executive Office		
of Environmental Affairs		
Pg. 561	1	
A 5. CVI		

62. May 28, 2003: Deposition	Objection	Polyvono 1
transcript of Jeffrey Madison,	Sojection	Relevance, hearsay.
pages 146-152 <b>Pg. 563</b>	·	Deferred.
63. May 9, 2008: Plan of	Objection	
existing wetland resources	Objection	Relevance, hearsay.
Moshup Trail (larger plan		This Plan should be to
available) Pg. 572	,	This Plan should be introduced as a chalk.
64. August 27, 2008:	Objection	
Deposition transcript of John J.	Objection	Hearsay, relevance.
Decoulos, pages 22-25 Pg. 573		Deferred.
2000 alos, pages 22 23 1g. 575		bereilea.
65. April 15, 2008: Plan of	Objection	Hearsay, relevance.
land in Aquinnah, Mass.		ricarsay, relevance.
Subdivision of Red Gate Farm 5		Deferred.
sheets (larger plans available)		
Pg. 576		
66. October 30, 2008:	Objection	Hearsay, relevance.
Deposition transcript of James		
J. Decoulos, pages 25-29, 106-		Deferred.
117 Pg. 581		
67. November 3, 2008:	Objection	Relevance. Current zoning overlay has no
Aquinnah Overlay Zoning Map		relevance to issue before court.
		and the issue before court.
Po. 602		
Pg. 602		Deferred.
Pg. 602  Defendants' Exhibits		Deferred. Position of Plaintiffs:
Defendants' Exhibits		Position of Plaintiffs:
Defendants' Exhibits  68. Certified copy, plan of Gay		Position of Plaintiffs:
Defendants' Exhibits  68. Certified copy, plan of Gay Head Showing the Petition of the Common Lands as Made by		Position of Plaintiffs:
Defendants' Exhibits  68. Certified copy, plan of Gay Head Showing the Petition of		Position of Plaintiffs:
Defendants' Exhibits  68. Certified copy, plan of Gay Head Showing the Petition of the Common Lands as Made by Joseph T. Pease and Richard L. Pease, Commissioners		Position of Plaintiffs:
Defendants' Exhibits  68. Certified copy, plan of Gay Head Showing the Petition of the Common Lands as Made by Joseph T. Pease and Richard L.		Position of Plaintiffs:
Defendants' Exhibits  68. Certified copy, plan of Gay Head Showing the Petition of the Common Lands as Made by Joseph T. Pease and Richard L. Pease, Commissioners Appointed by the Judge of		Position of Plaintiffs:
Defendants' Exhibits  68. Certified copy, plan of Gay Head Showing the Petition of the Common Lands as Made by Joseph T. Pease and Richard L. Pease, Commissioners Appointed by the Judge of Probate Under Section 6, Chapter 213 of the Acts of 1870 by John H. Mullin Civil		Position of Plaintiffs:
Defendants' Exhibits  68. Certified copy, plan of Gay Head Showing the Petition of the Common Lands as Made by Joseph T. Pease and Richard L. Pease, Commissioners Appointed by the Judge of Probate Under Section 6, Chapter 213 of the Acts of 1870 by John H. Mullin Civil		Position of Plaintiffs:
Defendants' Exhibits  68. Certified copy, plan of Gay Head Showing the Petition of the Common Lands as Made by Joseph T. Pease and Richard L. Pease, Commissioners Appointed by the Judge of Probate Under Section 6, Chapter 213 of the Acts of 1870		Position of Plaintiffs:
Defendants' Exhibits  68. Certified copy, plan of Gay Head Showing the Petition of the Common Lands as Made by Joseph T. Pease and Richard L. Pease, Commissioners Appointed by the Judge of Probate Under Section 6, Chapter 213 of the Acts of 1870 by John H. Mullin Civil Engineer, on file with the		Position of Plaintiffs:
Defendants' Exhibits  68. Certified copy, plan of Gay Head Showing the Petition of the Common Lands as Made by Joseph T. Pease and Richard L. Pease, Commissioners Appointed by the Judge of Probate Under Section 6, Chapter 213 of the Acts of 1870 by John H. Mullin Civil Engineer, on file with the Dukes County Registry of		Position of Plaintiffs:
Defendants' Exhibits  68. Certified copy, plan of Gay Head Showing the Petition of the Common Lands as Made by Joseph T. Pease and Richard L. Pease, Commissioners Appointed by the Judge of Probate Under Section 6, Chapter 213 of the Acts of 1870 by John H. Mullin Civil Engineer, on file with the Dukes County Registry of Probate and Exhibit 4 to the		Position of Plaintiffs:
Defendants' Exhibits  68. Certified copy, plan of Gay Head Showing the Petition of the Common Lands as Made by Joseph T. Pease and Richard L. Pease, Commissioners Appointed by the Judge of Probate Under Section 6, Chapter 213 of the Acts of 1870 by John H. Mullin Civil Engineer, on file with the Dukes County Registry of Probate and Exhibit 4 to the Affidavit of Jennifer S.D. Roberts sworn to on October 3,		Position of Plaintiffs:
Defendants' Exhibits  68. Certified copy, plan of Gay Head Showing the Petition of the Common Lands as Made by Joseph T. Pease and Richard L. Pease, Commissioners Appointed by the Judge of Probate Under Section 6, Chapter 213 of the Acts of 1870 by John H. Mullin Civil Engineer, on file with the Dukes County Registry of Probate and Exhibit 4 to the Affidavit of Jennifer S.D.		Position of Plaintiffs:
Defendants' Exhibits  68. Certified copy, plan of Gay Head Showing the Petition of the Common Lands as Made by Joseph T. Pease and Richard L. Pease, Commissioners Appointed by the Judge of Probate Under Section 6, Chapter 213 of the Acts of 1870 by John H. Mullin Civil Engineer, on file with the Dukes County Registry of Probate and Exhibit 4 to the Affidavit of Jennifer S.D. Roberts sworn to on October 3, 2000, previously filed in this	History was prepared	Position of Plaintiffs:  Plaintiffs agree.
Defendants' Exhibits  68. Certified copy, plan of Gay Head Showing the Petition of the Common Lands as Made by Joseph T. Pease and Richard L. Pease, Commissioners Appointed by the Judge of Probate Under Section 6, Chapter 213 of the Acts of 1870 by John H. Mullin Civil Engineer, on file with the Dukes County Registry of Probate and Exhibit 4 to the Affidavit of Jennifer S.D. Roberts sworn to on October 3, 2000, previously filed in this matter Pg. 603	History was prepared between 1890 and 1911.	Position of Plaintiffs:  Plaintiffs agree.  Relevance. This history was prepared in 1966 and therefore
Defendants' Exhibits  68. Certified copy, plan of Gay Head Showing the Petition of the Common Lands as Made by Joseph T. Pease and Richard L. Pease, Commissioners Appointed by the Judge of Probate Under Section 6, Chapter 213 of the Acts of 1870 by John H. Mullin Civil Engineer, on file with the Dukes County Registry of Probate and Exhibit 4 to the Affidavit of Jennifer S.D. Roberts sworn to on October 3, 2000, previously filed in this matter Pg. 603  69. Charles Edward Banks,	between 1890 and 1911.	Position of Plaintiffs:  Plaintiffs agree.  Relevance. This history was
Defendants' Exhibits  68. Certified copy, plan of Gay Head Showing the Petition of the Common Lands as Made by Joseph T. Pease and Richard L. Pease, Commissioners Appointed by the Judge of Probate Under Section 6, Chapter 213 of the Acts of 1870 by John H. Mullin Civil Engineer, on file with the Dukes County Registry of Probate and Exhibit 4 to the Affidavit of Jennifer S.D. Roberts sworn to on October 3, 2000, previously filed in this matter Pg. 603  69. Charles Edward Banks, M.D., The History of Martha's		Position of Plaintiffs:  Plaintiffs agree.  Relevance. This history was prepared in 1966 and therefore

pp. 5-10; Vol. II, Town Annals	' I	
Preface, pp. 5-10 and Annals of		
Gay Head, pp. 1-19, 28-29;		
Vol. III, Preface Pg. 605		
70. Vol. V., North American		Relevance. 1817 time period is
Review (1817), pp. 312-324		not relevant.
Pg. 633		
71. F.W. Bird's Report, House		Relevance. 1849 time period is
Doc., No. 46 (1849) Pg. 647		not relevant.
72. 1856 House Doc., No. 48		Relevance. 1856 time period is
Pg. 734		not relevant.
73. St. 1862, c. 184 <b>Pg. 750</b>		Relevance. 1862 time period is
		not relevant.
7,4. Certified copy, first three		Already included as Document 21,
pages of "Set-Off" book of		Page 189, of Plaintiffs' submittal.
Indian Lands at Gay Head, on		Submitted.
file with the Probate & Family		
Court Department of the Trial		
Court, Dukes County Pg. 755		
Plaintiffs' Proposed Exhibits	Defendants' Position:	
	Defendants Position:	
75. May 28, 1995: Application	Objection	Relevance, hearsay.*
for Self-Help Program, Moshup		
Trail, SH #2. See Affidavit of		Since the preparation of
Nicholas J. Decoulos. See also,		Document No. 25, Page 775, John Donnelly has submitted to the
Town of Aquinnah's Answers to		Donnelly has submitted to the   Plaintiffs copies of all of the
Plaintiff's Interrogatories in the		records pertaining to the Self-
case of Frangos, Trustee v.		Help Program which should be
Town of Aquinnah, U.S.		included in the record.
District Court, District of		
Massachusetts, Docket No. 03-		
CV-11159-MLW, in particular,		
#2 and #12. Pg. 760		
76. March 14, 1996: Self-Help	Objection	Relevance, hearsay.*
Program Agreement recorded at		Relevance, nearsay.*
Book 672, Page 436 Pg. 775		Deferred.
77. March 15, 1996: Deed of	Objection	Polovonos Tista ta I 1 540
Haskell to Town of Gay Head	Objection	Relevance. Title to Lot 549 not in
conveying Lot 549, recorded at		dispute.
Book 672, Page 439 Pg. 778		Deferred.
	Objection	
78. May 29, 1996: Letter of Conservation Commission to	Objection	Hearsay, relevance.*
		Deferred.
Joel Lerner with Application Pg. 780		ZOZOLICU.
EPG '/XII		

79. April 3, 1996: Deed of	Objection	Relevance. Title to Lot 556 not in
Flanders to Vineyard		dispute.
Conservation Society, et al.		F
		Deferred.
conveying Lot 556, recorded at	·	Deterred.
Book 674, Page 57 Pg. 788		
80. June 22, 1996: Deed of	Objection	Relevance. Title to Lot 556 not in
Vineyard Conservation Society,		dispute.
et al. to Town of Gay Head		
through its Conservation		Deferred.
Commission conveying Lot		
,		
556, recorded at Book 688,		
Page 102 Pg. 790		
81. December, 1997: Moshup	Objection	Relevance, hearsay.
Trial Project. Letter of Friends		
of Moshup Trail Project		The relevancy of Document No. 81
Pg. 793		is that it clearly states the
		intentions of the parties named in the header of the notice.
		See 4 <sup>th</sup> paragraph, p. 794. "The
		Vineyard Conservation Society is
		currently in litigation with
		would-be   developers of
		landlocked property to the north
		of the project area, who seek to
		force access to Moshup Trail
		over land acquired by the Moshup Trail Project. We strongly
		believe that this attempt will
		fail."
ADDITIONAL DEFENDANTS'		
EXHIBITS		
82. March 13, 1866:		Plaintiffs object. See
Deeds recorded at Book		Plaintiffs' Motion to Strike in opposition to this exhibit filed
49, Pages 1-39.		with the Court on or about
		January 30, 2009.
83. October 26, 1871.		No objection by Plaintiffs.
Gay Head lots held in		
severalty, numbered 1-		
173.		
84. December 21, 1878.		No objection by Plaintiffs.
Gay Head lots derived from common lands,		
numbered 174-736.		
85. 1850 Set-off of		Plaintiffs object. relevance.
lands in Chappaquiddick.		1850 time period not relevant.
86. Map of Gay Head		No objection by Plaintiffs.
recorded in 1917 at the		
Dukes County Registry of		
Deeds, Plan Book 5, Plan		
34.		

Dated: February 20, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

Maria A. Kitras as she is the Trustee of Bear Realty Trust, Maria A. Kitras and James J. Decoulos as Trustees of Bear II Realty Trust, and Maria A. Kitras and James J. Decoulos as Trustees of the Gorda Realty Trust

By their Attorney,

Nicholas J. Decorlos

BBO# 117760

39 Cross Street, Suite 204

Peabody, MA 01960

Tel. 978-532-1020

MARTHA'S VINEYARD LAND BANK

By its Attorneys,

Diane C. Tillotson

BBO #498400 Shana E. Maldonado BBO #667391 Hemenway & Barnes LLP 60 State Street Boston, MA 02109

(617) 227-7940

VINEYARD CONSERVATION SOCIETY, INC.

By its Attorney,

Jennifer S.D. Roberts bynjd Jennifer S.D. Roberts, Esq.

BBO# 541715

LaTanzi, Spaulding & Landreth, P.C.

8 Cardinal Lane

Orleans, Massachusetts 02653

(508) 255-2133

### TOWN OF AQUINNAH

By its Attorney,

Ronald H. Rappaport, Esq. BBO# 412260 Reynolds Rappaport & Kaplan LLP 106 Cooke Street P.O. Box 2540 Edgartown MA 02539 (508) 627-3711

### COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

By its Attorney,

MARTHA COAKLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL

John M. Donnelly Assistant Attorney General (BBO #661739) Government Bureau/Trial Division One Ashburton Place, Room 1813 Boston, MA 02108 (617) 727-2200